



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LIV വാല്യം 54	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	7th April 2009 2009 ഏപ്രിൽ 7 17th Chaithra 1931 1931 ചൈത്രം 17	No. നമ്പർ	14
-----------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 209/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Cannannore Spinning and Weaving Mills, P. B. No. 1601, Kakkad, Kannur-5 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The Secretary, Cannannore District Textile Mills Workers Union (CITU), Kakkad, 2. The General Secretary, Cannannore Spinning and Weaving Mills National Labour Union (NLO), Kakkad, Kannur-5, 3. The General Secretary, Kannur Jilla Textile Mazdoor Union (BMS), Cannannore Spinning and Weaving Mills, Kakkad, Kannur-5, 4. The General Secretary, Cannannore Spinning and Weaving Mills Workers Union (INTUC), Kakkad, Kannur-5 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947)

the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Kozhikode. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of permanency to gate badali workers of Cannannore Spinning and Weaving Mills, Kannur by the management is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 237/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Mattupetty Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District 685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estates Workers Union (AITUC) Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the

Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Stephen, 1. PF No. 4132 of Kuttiair Division of Madupatty Estate by the management is justifiable or not? 2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 244/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Marthoma College of Science & Technology, Chadayamangalam P. O., Ayur, Kollam District - 681 534 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri J. John, Jijo Bhavan, Cheruvakkal P. O., Ayur, Kollam-691 533 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Shri J. John, Watcher with effect from 29-8-2005 by the management of Marthoma College of Science & Technology, Chadayamangalam P. O., Ayur, Kollam District is justifiable? If not, what are the relief the worker is entitled to get?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 245/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri C. S. Anilkumar, Rohini Nivas, Kappilmukku, District Court Ward, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri Ignatius Fernandez @ Justin, Carmel Villa, Canal Ward, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order,

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Shri Ignatius Fernandez, Technician, M/s Hightech Electronics, Onida Customer Relation Centre, Sreevilasam, Indira Junction, Alappuzha by the Proprietor is justifiable? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to?"

By order of the Governor
G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.